- ANNUAL Further Click here to view this article's
- online features:
- Download figures as PPT slides
 Navigate linked references
- Download citations
- Explore related articles
- Search keywords

Realizing the Physics of Motile Cilia Synchronization with Driven Colloids

Nicolas Bruot¹ and Pietro Cicuta²

¹Institut Lumière Matière, UMR5306 Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Lyon, Institut Universitaire de France, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France; email: nicolas@bruot.org

 2 Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, CB3 0HE Cambridge, United Kingdom; email: pc245@cam.ac.uk

Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 2016. 7:323-48

First published online as a Review in Advance on January 14, 2016

The Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics is online at conmatphys.annualreviews.org

This article's doi: 10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031115-011451

Copyright © 2016 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

Keywords

hydrodynamic synchronization, driven colloidal particles, metachronal wave, ciliated tissues

Abstract

Cilia and flagella in biological systems often show large scale cooperative behaviors such as the synchronization of their beats in "metachronal waves." These are beautiful examples of emergent dynamics in biology, and are essential for life, allowing diverse processes from the motility of eukaryotic microorganisms, to nutrient transport and clearance of pathogens from mammalian airways. How these collective states arise is not fully understood, but it is clear that individual cilia interact mechanically, and that a strong and long-ranged component of the coupling is mediated by the viscous fluid. We review here the work by ourselves and others aimed at understanding the behavior of hydrodynamically coupled systems, and particularly a set of results that have been obtained both experimentally and theoretically by studying actively driven colloidal systems. In these controlled scenarios, it is possible to selectively test aspects of living motile cilia, such as the geometrical arrangement, the effects of the driving profile and the distance to no-slip boundaries. We outline and give examples of how it is possible to link model systems to observations on living systems, which can be made on microorganisms, on cell cultures or on tissue sections. This area of research has clear clinical application in the long term, as severe pathologies are associated with compromised cilia function in humans.

1. INTRODUCTION

Biological systems are often found to make use of "simple" and generic force-based mechanisms. Because most life exists in a fluid state, an obvious medium for the transmission of force is the hydrodynamic interaction: The flow fields transmit forces between objects moving relative to each other or relative to the background fluid. At the microscopic cellular level, velocities and length scales combine to give a very low Reynolds number. Hydrodynamic coupling is an important feature in various biological flows, with key consequences in apparently diverse phenomena such as the motility of microorganisms (1–7), circulation in the brain (8), and functioning of the ear (9). In many cases motile cilia are involved in the generation of the flows, and the fluid acts as a medium that couples the different beating cilia. A whole ciliated tissue can therefore be seen physically as a system of coupled oscillators. Because of the complexity of the beat pattern of a motile cilium and of its interaction with the surrounding fluid, simplified models of coupled oscillators have been developed by us and other groups to address the question of the emergence of cooperative behaviors (4, 7). Such models have been very useful in describing the features of the coordinated patterns as a function of a limited number of control parameters.

We discuss here different models of oscillators that are used to gain insight into this topic, through experiment and theory, particularly to develop our understanding of the link between micro- and macroscopic dynamics. For example, there is evidence that clusters of close neighbors phase-lock into clear "dynamical motifs" (10), and classifying the main motifs under biologically relevant conditions shows which parameters are (or may be) optimized to sustain synchronization and flow over large scales in the biological context. Much remains to be explored in these well-controlled models: Fluids like mucus are complex and viscoelastic (11); flow occurs near soft tissues and with cilia close to each other, which is known to bring new hydrodynamic effects, ranging from a shorter range coupling (12) to complex near-surface circulation patterns (13). Experiments on the colloidal models have provided unexpected results, for example, on the nontrivial consequence of thermal noise in coupled active systems (14) and on the role of feedback frequency (15).

The review is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces biological cilia, focusing on the origin of their activity that ultimately leads to the generation of a net flow. In Section 3, we present the theoretical framework for the study of colloidal model oscillators, and in Section 4, we describe the coarse-grained models of oscillators that have been implemented experimentally with particles driven by optical tweezers. To compare predictions of the simplified colloidal models to natural systems, investigating real ciliated tissues and model microorganisms is also relevant. This is discussed in Section 5 with examples of increasing complexity: synchronization of the two flagella of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, metachronal waves in *Paramecium* and *Volvox*, alignment of cilia in mammalian mucociliary tissues, and observations of this work in clinical diagnosis of cilia-related diseases and in opening new possibilities in microfluidics for flow generation and control at the micrometric scale. The overarching (and largely outstanding) question addressed in this review is what determines the character of the dynamical steady state and the related corollary of what has gone "wrong" in clinical conditions in which cilia coordination is observed to be compromised.

2. CILIA

2.1. Cilia Structure and Mobility

Motile cilia are remarkably complex organelles that evolved early in life and whose structures are highly conserved throughout biology. Only some cells have motile cilia, with the purposes of either swimming or generating a flow. Mammalian sperm cells have a single, approximately 70- μ m



Figure 1

Flagella and cilia. (*a*) Flagellum of a sea urchin sperm cell showing a planar wave-like pattern (16). The snapshots are taken at 10-ms time intervals. (*b*) Biflagellated *Cblamydomonas* cell, held on a micropipette, with clearly visible flagella (adapted from Reference 17 with permission). Sketches illustrate the "breaststroke" phase-locked motion that takes place for the majority of time and corresponds to directed motion. (*c*) Snapshot of side-on human airway epithelial cilia displaying a metachronal wave. (*d*) Sketch representation of the time evolution of the shape of a cilium as in panel *c*. The periodic cycle is made of a "power stroke," during which the cilium pushes the fluid, and a "recovery stroke," with the cilium coming back to its initial position at reduced drag. In a multiciliated system, in the presence of a metachronal wave, this sketch also represents the spatial distribution of cilia shapes as a snapshot in time. Adapted from Reference 16 with permission.

long flagellum attached to the body (**Figure 1***a*), whereas the *Chlamydomonas* alga has two flagella, about 12 μ m in length (18) (**Figure 1***b*). In airway tissues (**Figure 1***c*), cells are multiciliated, and cilia form dense arrays (2,400 cilia/cm²; 19); the filaments are much shorter, on average 7 μ m for human airways (20). Although the length of cilia and flagella can vary significantly depending on the system, the internal structure of both cilia and flagella in eukaryotic cells is very conserved (21), leading to a fairly constant diameter of about 200 nm. In most of the cases, from the perspective of a cross section of a cilium, the internal structure (axoneme) consists of nine microtubule doublets arranged on a circle in primary cilia, and nine microtubule doublets in a circle that surrounds two central single tubules in motile cilia (21) (**Figure 2**). The two structures are often called "9 + 0"



Figure 2

The "9 + 2" internal structure of a motile cilium is widely conserved in eukaryotes. (*a*) Axoneme cross section showing nine microtubule doublets surrounding a central pair. (*b*) Flagellar axoneme extending from the basal body, on which the cilium is anchored, to the rest of the cell. The dynein arms are the motor of the cilium beat and induce the sliding of microtubules against each other (from neighboring doublets), causing the overall bending of the filament. Adapted from Reference 23 with permission.

and "9+2," respectively. The microtubule doublets are responsible for the movement of motile cilia, and the orientation of the central tubules sets the plane of beating. In a cilium or flagellum, each doublet is a pair of dynein arms that slide against each other (22). This causes the whole cilium to bend, as shown in Figure 2b. The energy required for the sliding is provided by adenosine triphosphate. The details of the motion of the filament are still being debated (23, 24), but it is well known that the outcome of all the activity, from the molecular up to the single cilium level, is an oscillating filament. Typical cycles are shown in **Figure 1***a*, *b*, *d*. For the short cilia in panels b and c, the cycle starts with the "power stroke" with a fairly straight filament that changes its orientation, thus pushing the fluid. It returns to its initial position curled up, in the "recovery stroke," thus minimizing the interaction with the fluid. In the two strokes, a cilium has a very different drag coefficient because of the different conformations; this asymmetry makes the cycle nonreciprocal, a necessary condition that provides a net force on the fluid over a period of time in a low Reynolds number (Re) environment. Cilia typically beat at a frequency of 5 to 100 Hz (25). Other beating cycles exist. For example, in uniflagellar spermatozoa, the cycle can be a wave-like planar (as in **Figure 1***a*) or a helical pattern that propagates along the filament (5, 22, 26), pushing the cell forward. The planar pattern in Figure 1*a* is somehow similar to the S-shape slithering motion of snakes, although the problem is more complex because the fluid is not immobile (1). Some proposals attempt to account for the mechanisms at work within a cilium (27, 28) and to reproduce the cycle of oscillation (29, 30). Already at the level of a single cilium there are complex nonlinear interactions amongst the constitutive components.

Physical considerations on cilia can be made on a single cilium (see the sidebar Collective Motion in Motile Cilia). How the cilia units are structured and function is itself a fascinating question, which is well understood at the molecular scale and is being addressed at the "system" level in terms of filament dynamics (2, 27, 31). However, a full model that includes in general the internal motor forces and cilia transfer of momentum to the surrounding fluid does not yet exist.

COLLECTIVE MOTION IN MOTILE CILIA

Most eukaryotic cells have cilia, which may be motile or immotile. It is now thought that most cilia can act as chemical- or mechano-sensors, while only motile cilia enable epithelial cells in various tissues to generate surface flows (55). Motile cilia are highly conserved structures in the evolution of organisms, essentially the same in plants and animals; they generate the transport of fluid by periodic beating, through remarkably organized behavior in space and time. It is not clearly known how these spatio-temporal patterns emerge and what sets their properties. Individual cilia are nonequilibrium systems with many degrees of freedom, but can be represented by simpler effective force laws that drive oscillations, and paralleled with nonlinear phase oscillators often studied in physics. Recent models capture the key physical elements at work within each filament (28): each cilium undergoes periodically a forward "power stroke," followed by a backward "recovery stroke" in which it is more bent and closer to the surface, thus resulting in a net momentum transfer to the fluid over a cycle (**Figure 1***d*). The source of synchronization observed in cilia and flagella is often thought to be of hydrodynamic origin, based on many observations (17, 32, 45, 56), but the details of coupling in the case of mucociliary tissues (and specifically of the airways) are not known and are a topic of current research. Synchronization in systems of many oscillators holds many open questions, and is close to frontiers of soft matter and statistical physics dealing more widely with the collective behavior of active elements, and the emergence of non-equilibrium structures (57, 58).

2.2. Cilia Coordination: Biological and Physical Views

The function of motile cilia is essential in life: In complex organisms such as mammals, cilia are expressed in tissues to drive circulation in the brain, airways, and fallopian tubes. In these organs the active cilia units interact with each other to create a coupled dynamical system, which can lead to coordinated beating in a "metachronal wave" (32, 33) (see **Figure 1***c*). This wave is particularly efficient at sustaining directed surface flow (22). Nearby cilia will phase-lock and may, for example, beat in phase or out of phase or indeed may be in a condition where they can readily switch between the two dynamical states as in the algae *Chlamydomonas reinbardtii* (34).

Coordinated motion is crucial for the effective functioning of cilia and flagella, the elements of eukaryotic cells implicated in generating fluid flows and motility (22, 33, 35), and may be exploited in artificial conditions (13, 36–38) and low Re swimmers (39–41). Motile flagella and cilia interact through the velocity field in a low Re regime (2, 32, 42). The magnitude of the coupling forces is comparable with the random thermal forces, raising the question of how biological systems might exploit this competition between locking and random phase drift or how architectures leading to stable synchronized states of multiple cilia might have evolved. Synchronization is a general phenomenon in nature (43), with many technological applications. Hydrodynamic synchronization at low Re is a well-defined subset of this, in which coupling is viscous (2) and noise (thermal and intrinsic contributions) is important (34, 44), and the details of the driving forces play a key role (12, 42). Recent progress in hydrodynamic synchronization is reviewed in References 4 and 7, and an overview of low Re flows is in Reference 2.

One approach to understanding hydrodynamic synchronization is to study systems of a few flagella. For example, the biflagellated alga *Chlamydomonas* (**Figure 1***b*) has been a test bed for experiments exploring synchronization of flagella (6), recently showing that hydrodynamic flows are sufficient to describe phase-locked cilia dynamics (45). Particle-based experimental and conceptual models, where the emerging dynamics in coupled arrays of driven colloidal phase oscillators can be probed, have shown clearly the role that geometry (10, 46–48), type of drive (49–51), and details of the driving potential (12, 50, 52–54) can have on the collective state. By enabling selective control of individual parameters, and analytical results in some limit conditions (46, 49, 52), these models form a solid foundation to build our understanding of natural systems such as mucociliary tissues. These models maintain the correct far-field form of the hydrodynamic flow caused by a cilium, can describe the presence of a nearby solid surface, and, most importantly, can account for the physiological properties of the beating cilia (i.e., shape of the stroke, with power and recovery phases) by matching the properties of living system cilia cycles as the driving rule.

A fruitful approach to date has been to build experimental models of driven phase oscillators, which are sufficiently simple for both theoretical and experimental studies. Experimentally, these involve optically trapped colloidal-sized spheres, which play the role of beating cilia, maintaining the same regime of length scales and timescales (**Figure 3***a*). In an attempt to model (both experimentally and theoretically) the physics of hydrodynamic synchronization of cilia, two main ideas have emerged.¹

One model consists of a "geometric switch": the model cilium has two states corresponding to its back-and-forth motions. It displays a discontinuity in the driving force and is motivated by the existence of the power and recovery strokes (**Figure 1***d*) and by the fact that molecular motors

¹An important comment on this model is that the center of an oscillator is held at a fixed position, compared with the fluid at rest far from the oscillator. This assumption is verified in biological systems such as in the bronchiolar epithelium, where the cilia are all tethered to a surface at rest, achieving force balance.



Figure 3

Hydrodynamic coupling leads to coordinated motion of cilia and can be studied using simpler models. (*a*) In a coarse-grained model, a single cilium can be modeled as a chain of spherical colloidal particles and even as a single bead. Despite their simplicity, these descriptions approximate well (in the far-field limit) the characteristics of the fluid flow generated by a full filament. (*b*) Top view of a culture of ciliated cells. Movies of such cultures can be studied to look for local dynamical patterns (illustrated in the *inset*) that are predicted by model studies involving the hydrodynamic coupling.

undergo discrete attachment/detachment events that couple to the force generation. It was first studied theoretically (59–61), then by our group (10, 14, 46) and others (12).

In contrast with the configuration-controlled system discussed above, a stress-controlled "rotor" model has also emerged (42). This has recently been studied very generally for two rotors by Uchida & Golestanian (49, 52), extending the case of circular orbits by Niedermayer et al. (42). A series of important results has been obtained modeling cilia as rotors (42, 49, 62); in recent work (52, 53), it was shown that both the flexibility of the orbit and the mean force profile determine the strength of synchronization. By small adjustments of its physical parameters, it is possible to achieve control over the state of synchronization of the system.

Some of our results on the two models are discussed in Section 4. It is not yet established which of the two ideas (stress- or configuration-controlled oscillators) is most appropriate to describe a biological scenario.

The research frontier now is to develop the model experiments further, to include key biological aspects (such as the high density of cilia in some organs or the presence of a non-Newtonian fluid) and to enable rules and properties at the microscale to be linked with the macroscopic dynamics. In this sense, understanding cilia synchronization in biological systems is an open and very important area of research. The recent progress gained in simple systems—coupled with advances in optical microscopy, ciliated cell culture, microfluidics, optical manipulation, and camera technology—makes this area ripe for experimental investigation.

2.3. What Can We Learn from Models? Relating the Microscopics to Collective Dynamics

Each biological cilium is itself a complex structure (see **Figure 2**), and its own regular beating (and switching) is constrained by a mechanical feedback (24, 28). In a given flow condition, the mechanical stress and the geometrical configuration are coupled parameters, and the feedback condition of the geometric switch is a simple way to account for how a cilium senses the moment to switch between so-called power and recovery strokes (32). It is clear that a complex scenario such as this requires models capable of joining up quite different length scales, from molecular

to cilium level and up to the interactions of cilia with the fluid and each other. In this spirit, and depending on the focus of the question, some aspects have to be suitably simplified and reduced.

Certain organisms (biological model systems) also allow, to some degree, addressing specific aspects of such an entangled problem. For the alga *Chlamydomonas*, the synchronization of the beating filaments was shown recently (17, 63, 64), and the visualization of cilia shape was also achieved (18). Although *Chlamydomonas* has been very useful for developing and tuning physical models to biological systems, the ultimately important goals lie in understanding human physiology, where ciliated tissues have large numbers of cilia, and cilia arrangement is likely to be important. In tissues such as the trachea of mammals, the average frequency of beating and speed of the wave can be measured readily, but observation of the individual beating cilia is hampered by the presence of optically opaque mucus (65, 66) (see **Figure 1***c*).

In this context, colloidal model experiments, where the parameters of the system can be tuned readily and visualization is straightforward, have an important role to play in elucidating the factors controlling emergent collective states. Colloidal particle-driven systems (in a way, a model even simpler and more flexible than Chlamydomonas) can be used to demonstrate specific effects: For example, thermal noise (capable, of course, of destroying the synchronization if sufficiently large) introduces a delay between the coupled oscillators (14). The conditions for phase locking can be predicted in simple scenarios (50), and we have developed design principles to explain the emergence of characteristic dynamical patterns in small networks of oscillators (10, 46). The systems we have investigated to date can be thought of as idealized cilia synchronization experiments: The optical trap force plays the role of the molecular motors that induce active movement, and the hydrodynamic flow field produced by the moving beads well represents beating cilia, at least at large distances (2). These models are also general experimental systems to probe the physics of stochastic and actively forced hydrodynamically coupled oscillators. From the theoretical perspective, working with models enables the development of well-defined general results; for example, various models have been recently proposed to address different aspects of metachronal waves (2, 12, 28, 42, 64, 67).

The role of models, where individual parameters can be tuned selectively, is to establish the general rules and links between the emergence and the properties of synchronized states (e.g., the collective phenotypes, like wave dynamics and the spatial or temporal coherence of coordination) and the key physical properties of the individual active structures (e.g., mechanics, activity and geometry of each motile cilium, and fluid rheology).

3. PHYSICS OF DRIVEN COLLOIDS

To gain an understanding of the synchronization of cilia and the emergence of metachronal waves, it is necessary to coarse-grain the filaments in various ways and analyze the emergent behavior experimentally, numerically, or with analytic calculations. In a quite accurate description of the drag with the fluid, the filament can be replaced by a chain of spherical beads or rods (13, 33, 68, 69) (**Figure 3***a*). This can also be realized experimentally with magnetic particles self-assembling into chains (13, 70, 71). These models are useful to study the flow generated close to the filament and to obtain its shape during a beating cycle, but so far it has only been possible to actuate the filaments with a uniform external force. Elliptical particles have also been used (72). To consider questions relating to synchronization between different cilia, an even more coarse-grained model can be used: Because the filament can be seen as a point in the far field, it can be assumed that the fluid flow generated by the cilium is the same as the one created by a moving sphere. Hence, a cilium can be modeled as just a sphere (see **Figure 3***a*). Many recent models use this level of coarse-graining (42, 49, 62), as this greatly simplifies the calculation of drag forces, both those

acting on the individual object and the force induced by one object on another. The cycle shape and bead velocities contain, in a coarse-grained fashion, the cilium properties and are at the core of the model. It is possible, as outlined in Section 4, to deploy these models (and also carry out experiments) without locking the phase of each cilium to an external driving clock.

3.1. Hydrodynamic Interaction

This section recalls the main equations that govern colloidal models, and the numerical methods that can be used to simulate systems of hydrodynamically coupled oscillators. One key interest of colloidal oscillators as a model of cilia is that they provide simple ways to describe hydrodynamic interaction. Studies until now have been assumed to have a Newtonian fluid in a low Re configuration, that the propagation of the transfer of momentum from the fluid is instantaneous, and that the colloidal particles do not rotate. For a set of N colloidal particles, the hydrodynamic coupling between the external forces acting on the colloids (all forces but the one from the fluid) and the resulting velocities of the particles is given by a relation of the form

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,j} \mathbf{F}_j , \qquad 1.$$

where \mathbf{v}_i is the velocity of bead *i*, \mathbf{F}_j the external force acting on bead *j*, and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is an $N \times N$ mobility matrix whose elements are 3×3 second-rank tensors. The choice of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ depends on the presence or not of no-slip boundary conditions (a nearby wall) and on the level of approximations regarding the finite radius *a* of the particles (near-field effects). We outline below the most commonly used mobility matrices.

3.1.1. Far field, no wall: Oseen tensor. A moving particle in an unbounded quiescent fluid generates a flow $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$ as a result of the external forces acting on the particle. Such a solution of the Stokes equation (73, 74) for the fluid is called a Stokeslet (75). If a second particle is placed at \mathbf{r}_i in the fluid it will simply follow the fluid at the velocity $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}_i)$. In the case of N particles, when the interparticle distances $r_{i,j} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ are large compared with their radius a, and when keeping only the leading orders in $a/r_{i,j}$, the mobility matrix simplifies to the Oseen tensor $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{H}$. In that case, the diagonal terms simply represent the Stokes drag on a sphere, and the nondiagonal terms are directly related to the Stokeslets (73, 76):

$$\mathbf{H}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8\pi \eta r_{i,j}} \left(\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i,j} \right) & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \\ \frac{\mathbf{I}}{6\pi \eta a} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

with **I** the unit tensor and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i,j}$ a unit vector in the direction defined by the two particles *i* and *j*.

3.1.2. Near field, no wall: Rotne-Prager tensor. This is a more accurate description of the hydrodynamic interaction than the Oseen tensor; it accounts for the finite size of the particles in the nondiagonal terms of the mobility matrix. The correction, which appears as a higher-order term in $a/r_{i,j}$, takes into account that a flow created by a particle is "reflected" on the other particles, thus affecting the velocity of the first particle (73, 74). To stay consistent with the expansion to the next order in $a/r_{i,j}$, it is also necessary to expand the Stokeslet flow of a single particle to the third order, as well as taking into account that a particle moving in an nonuniform flow undergoes a translational motion that is slightly different from the sole fluid velocity at the

Bruot • Cicuta

position of the particle (Faxén theorem for translation). All together, the corrections above lead to the Rotne-Prager mobility matrix (73):

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,j}^{\text{RP}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6\pi \eta a} \left[\frac{3a}{4r_{i,j}} \left(\mathbf{I} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i,j} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a}{r_{i,j}} \right)^3 \left(\mathbf{I} - 3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i,j} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i,j} \right) \right] & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \frac{\mathbf{I}}{6\pi \eta a} & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

3.1.3. Presence of wall: Blake tensor. Many biological systems involve the hydrodynamic coupling between objects that are moving close to a wall, with a no-slip boundary condition. This is particularly true for ciliated tissues, where the filaments are anchored at the surface of the cells. The Blake tensor describes the interaction between spheres in a semi-infinite fluid with a no-slip boundary condition at the surface.

For the diagonal terms of the mobility matrix, the presence of the surface modifies the Stokes drag that can be expanded as a series in a/z_i , where we take a system of coordinates (x, y, z) with $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ normal to the surface and z = 0 at the surface (77). An expansion to the sixth order has already been used to study the flow generated by arrays of artificial cilia (13). The corresponding diagonal terms read as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,i}^{x,x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,i}^{y,y} = \frac{1}{6\pi\eta a} \left[1 - \frac{9a}{16z_i} + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{a}{z_i} \right)^3 - \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{a}{z_i} \right)^5 \right] \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,i}^{z,z} = \frac{1}{6\pi\eta a} \left[1 - \frac{9a}{8z_i} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a}{z_i} \right)^3 - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{a}{z_i} \right)^5 \right] \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,i}^{\alpha,\beta} = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

$$4.$$

For the nondiagonal terms, Blake proposed in Reference 78 to describe the fluid flow created by a Stokeslet near a surface by an image method (as in electrostatics). In this method, the no-slip boundary condition at the wall is satisfied by describing the effect of the wall as being equivalent to an infinite fluid but with a second Stokeslet at the mirror position of the first Stokeslet and with an opposite force. For N particles, this leads to the following expressions for the Blake mobility matrix (78, 79):

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,j}^{\mathrm{B}} = \frac{1}{8\pi\eta} \left[\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}) - \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}) + 2z_{j}^{2}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}) - 2z_{j}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{SD}}(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}) \right], \qquad 5.$$

with $\mathbf{r}_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$, $\mathbf{\bar{r}}_i = (x_i, y_i, -z_i)$ and the elements of the Green functions given by (78, 79)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{\delta_{\alpha,\beta}}{r} + \frac{r_{\alpha}r_{\beta}}{r^{3}}, \\ \mathbf{G}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{r}) &= (1 - 2\delta_{\beta,z})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\beta}}\left(\frac{r_{\alpha}}{r^{3}}\right), \\ \mathbf{G}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{SD}}(\mathbf{r}) &= (1 - 2\delta_{\beta,z})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\beta}}\mathbf{G}_{\alpha,z}^{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{6}. \end{aligned}$$

with δ the Kronecker delta.

In the most general case, when the size of the particles *a* is not negligible compared with $r_{i,j}$ or z_i , the Blake tensor can be corrected for the beads' radii. In a derivation similar to the Rotne-Prager tensor, the Faxén theorem gives (13, 80)

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,j} = \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{6} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i}^2\right) \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{6} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i,j}^{\mathrm{B}}$$
 7.

for $i \neq j$. The expanded version of this formula is complex and is not printed here, but it can be found in the supplementary information of Reference 13.

3.2. Thermal Fluctuations

As a consequence of the small scales involved, small biological systems are subject to Brownian motion. The random force f(t) that acts on a spherical colloid is, in practice, well approximated by white noise and is characterized by (81)

$$\begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{f}(t) \rangle = 0 \\ \langle \mathbf{f}(t) \cdot \mathbf{f}(t') \rangle = 2\nu\gamma k_B T \,\delta(t - t'), \end{cases}$$
8.

with $\gamma = 6\pi \eta a$ and $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the dimension of the system.

In a system of several particles, this thermal fluctuating force has to be included in the forces \mathbf{F}_j of the mobility matrix in Equation 1. It then leads to coupled terms of noise in the velocities \mathbf{v}_i (81, 82), which we have shown to induce nontrivial correlations in motile systems (14). Thermal noise also induces rotation of the particles, and this rotation couples to the translation (83).

3.3. Numerical Methods

Numerical methods have provided a lot of insight into questions of fluid dynamics and beat efficiency, and, generally, in finding solutions for any model dynamical system that has been posed (33, 42, 84), because these can usually be treated analytically only in very special cases (15, 49, 82).

Brownian dynamics (BD) codes include the treatment of thermal fluctuations. Such simulations are extremely useful for providing quantitative solutions to compare with experiments, and for extending theory approaches (which are often simplified and typically neglect thermal noise). BD codes can be pushed to very large systems of over 100 fully coupled model cilia (this is fewer than the active elements in multiciliated tissues but much larger than possible with any optical trap device), even with a standard desktop computer. Simulations of course assume that the hydrodynamic interactions are known mathematically: The equations outlined above do accurately describe coupled colloidal particles in Newtonian liquids, but the problem becomes more challenging when, e.g., viscoelasticity is introduced.

In our own work we use a C++BD program that implements the Ermak McCammon algorithm (85), providing a numerically fast way to integrate the equations of motion of N particles interacting with the Oseen or Rotne-Prager tensors with coupled thermal fluctuations. In this algorithm, momentum transfer is instantaneous (global), and noise has long-range spatial correlations.

4. COLLECTIVE DYNAMICS AND METACHRONAL WAVE MODEL EXPERIMENTS

Understanding the synchronization of cilia and flagella can be done by means of in vitro experimental observations or by working on simplified physical models that describe the beating pattern of cilia. Because it is increasingly accepted that the hydrodynamic interaction plays a key role in the synchronization of these systems (11, 32, 59, 67), the models should include that coupling. This section focuses on colloidal models where the hydrodynamic interaction dominates. Other interactions, such as mechanical interactions (86) through the cells or steric repulsion, might also be relevant.

The geometric switch and rotor models below are simple enough to carry out numerical simulations or analytical calculations by reducing the multiple degrees of freedom of the complex

systems into a few control parameters that can be tuned. These help us to understand the biological systems theoretically. They also make it easier to account for the hydrodynamic interaction. For example, sperm flagella were first modeled by a waving sheet (1, 87) or by a 2D or 3D filament (88–90) in order to determine the fluid flow they create. Experimental realizations of actuated filaments that can mimic the motion of a cilium also exist at the micrometric (13, 68, 91) and macroscopic (92) scales.

4.1. Experimental Realizations of Colloidal Phase Oscillators

The colloidal particle experiments are based on the physical intuition that the complex structural details of the cilia might be coarse-grained in the details of how the colloidal particles are driven. Two classes of colloidal models (geometric switch and stress driven) have been developed in this vein, exploring in the first instance the conditions for optimal coupling. We have also introduced a modified version of the geometric switch model with a free orientation so that collective alignment properties could be investigated. We present here these coarse-grained models and their most interesting collective features that have been observed by us and other groups.

Experimentally, optical tweezers can be used to impose external forces (in the range from fractions to hundreds of piconewtons) onto colloidal particles (dielectric spheres of size range between 0.5 and 5 micrometers) (Figure 4*a*). In purpose-made optical tweezers setups, as in Reference 53, it is possible to generate many laser beams (or achieve many traps by time sharing one beam across multiple positions, at much higher frequency than any dynamics in the system) by deflecting beams via surface light modulators or acousto-optical modulators. By analyzing the positions of the colloidal particles at high frame rate (typically hundreds of times per second)



Figure 4

Colloidal model oscillators. (*a*) Our experimental approach to the cilia synchronization problem: Each cilium is represented by a spherical micron-sized particle, driven by optical tweezers in a way that represents the cilium beat and contains cilia properties in a coarse-grained fashion. Two classes of models have been studied extensively in theory and experiment, running multiple coupled phase-free oscillators to study their hydrodynamic synchronization. (*b*) Geometric switch "rower" oscillator: Two traps are defined (*red* and *blue* potentials) and are switched on and off in turn. When the trap on the right is on, the bead moves to the right, and the traps are switched when the particle reaches the position indicated by the right black dashed line. It is then pushed to the left, and a second geometric boundary (*left black dashed line*) defines the next trap switch. (*c*) "Rotor" model: A path (circular here) is chosen for the particle. The colloid is driven by a trap that is maintained at a controlled distance $\epsilon(\phi_1)$ ahead of the particle and on the tangent to the circle at the bead. The tangent force $F(\phi_1)$ is therefore controlled; the phase ϕ_1 is determined from the bead position. In addition, the bead may deviate from the circular path in the radial direction, and a harmonic restoring force of controlled stiffness (represented by a spring on the sketch) tends to keep the particle on the predefined path.

and implementing a feedback loop, it is then possible to make phase oscillators; i.e., we can drive colloids cyclically in such a way that the phase of each one is not set externally. In geometric switch models, the update of the laser trap positions is decided based on the instantaneous configuration of the particles; in stress-controlled orbits, the laser trap driving each colloid is maintained at a predefined distance ahead of the colloidal particle on a predefined track.

Within each class of model (configuration- or stress-controlled), many biologically and medically important elements can be tested: the role of fluid rheology, the vicinity to solid surface boundaries, the robustness to external flows and perturbations in the flow, the effects of heterogeneity in cilia spatial distribution, and the robustness to loss of cilia in patches.

4.2. Geometric Switch: The "Rower" Model

The rower model provided a particularly simple implementation of a colloidal phase oscillator. It has also proven rich for theoretical investigation.

4.2.1. The model. In the experiments of Reference 14, two beads are confined in separate harmonic wells (**Figure 4***a*). The position of each well is linked to the spatial configuration of the beads via a "geometric switch." Specifically, the laser trap on each particle is moved between two positions a distance apart, as shown in **Figure 4***b*, following the rule that the switch of trap position is triggered when a particle approaches to within a distance from the minimum of the active potential. This feedback-controlled motion of the traps is sufficient to induce sustained oscillations, and each particle undergoes long-time periodic motion with a fixed amplitude. Crucially, when more than one bead is present in the system, the geometric switch is determined independently for each bead (200 times per second in the current setup), so that the external trap forces do not themselves impose the phase of oscillation or its period. Because the bead radius is typically a few micrometers and the trap stiffness is between 1 and 100 pN/ μ m, with the typical viscosity of 1 mPa·s or above, in the absence of other external forces, a particle in a harmonic potential undergoes overdamped stochastic motion driven by thermal forces (81). We more recently explored variations of this model such as two-state oscillators driven by nonharmonic potentials (15, 50).

4.2.2. Synchronization of rowers. In our first experiments (14), we showed that two driven oscillating colloidal spheres in harmonic potentials lock into antiphase motion, exhibiting a surprising behavior caused by the interplay of thermal noise and hydrodynamic interactions, as well as general features typical of coupled nonlinear oscillators such as Arnold tongues.

The shape of the driving potential also affects the state of synchronization. Wollin & Stark showed this in the geometry of a linear chain of two or more oscillators, studied numerically in the absence of noise (12). For two driven particles, we found experimentally that the curvature of the potential does indeed determine the stable synchronized state: in phase or in antiphase (50). More specifically, harmonic-like potentials with a force that decreases when approaching the center of the well lead to antiphase synchronization, whereas an increasing force generates in-phase synchronization. This result is illustrated by **Figure 5***a*. Here, the parameter *c* characterizes the curvature of the potential—with constant driving forces corresponding to c = 0—and $\langle Q \rangle$ is an order parameter that takes a value of -1 for in-phase synchronization and +1 for antiphase motion. These experiments show a transition of the synchronized state and were backed up by BD simulations with the coupling described by the Oseen tensor. At $c \approx 0$ (noncurved, linear potentials), the two rowers do not synchronize even at low temperature, which is a manifestation of the fact that breaking time-reversal symmetry is a necessary condition for the system to synchronize. Analytical considerations are also possible at this level of description: a theory based



Figure 5

Main results of colloidal models. (a) Synchronization of two rowers. Depending on the curvature c of the driving forces, two rowers synchronize in phase or in antiphase ($\langle Q \rangle = -1$ or 1, respectively) in experiments (filled circles), BD simulations (open markers), and theory (solid lines). The different colors indicate different temperatures (increasing from blue to orange), and higher temperatures require a stronger curvature of the driving forces to see synchronization. (b) Synchronization (in phase) of two rotors: simulations (markers) and theory (colored surface). The inverse relaxation time of the phase difference $1/\tau$ is a measure of the strength of synchronization. Here, the strength of synchronization is controlled by two dimensionless parameters $\Gamma_{\rm L}$ and $\Gamma_{\rm G}$ for the Lenz and Golestanian mechanisms, respectively. The mechanism that dominates is indicated by the LS and GS (Lenz and Golestanian synchronization) areas. (c) Alignment of two rowers with a free direction of beat. (c, i) Tracks of two beads in the case of negatively curved potentials from a random initial condition (increasing time from *blue* to *red*). Each oscillator is a rower with a free direction of oscillation. Here, the beads oscillate along diameters of a circle and slowly align horizontally; i.e., (θ_1, θ_2) tends to (0, 0). (c, ii) Analytical convergence map of the (θ_1, θ_2) orientation of the beats depending on the curvature. For c < 0, the convergence to (0, 0) (red dots) is predicted, whereas for c > 0, the pair of angles converges to a configuration on the solid lines. In the presence of Brownian noise, this system does not align but stays close to the solid lines. (d) Five-rotor configuration that synchronizes after a few cycles. To make synchronization possible, the driving force on the central rotor needs to be reduced, as it is more coupled than others to the rest of the system.

on the solving of the equations of motion in the presence of thermal fluctuations (the thermal noise leads to distributions of the particle first-passage times that trigger a trap move) successfully approximates the mean order parameter.

The role of noise in these systems is a relevant question given the micrometric size of the system (Brownian motion) and also the presence of bio/chemical noise due to the molecular processes in the living systems. We have highlighted that thermal noise can prevent synchronization (15, 50) and trigger phase slips when the two oscillators have different intrinsic frequencies (14, 15).

The position of the model cilia is also very important: We looked experimentally at arrays of N = 3, 4, and 5 oscillators (and more general systems, numerically) (10, 46), making the surprising discovery that while polygonal arrays of 4 or more colloids behave like the two-particle system and synchronize with the nearest neighbors in antiphase (for harmonic drive), a system of 3 equally spaced colloids synchronizes in phase. Other odd-number systems share the property of having phase-locked clockwise- and anticlockwise-traveling waves. Studying these small networks, we realized that the nonequilibrium dynamical steady state is predominantly formed by the eigenmode with the longest relaxation time when the driving potentials have positive curvature (e.g., harmonic wells with a positive coefficient). On the contrary, the eigenmodes with the shortest relaxation times dominate the dynamics in systems where the curvature is negative, because modes are growing and the shortest time constant modes grow the fastest. Therefore, for a given form of the coupling tensor, the character of the collective dynamics can be predicted from the spatial configuration and knowledge of the type of drive. This predictive power is remarkable, because the eigenmode structure is just an equilibrium property of the passive system. The argument based on eigenmodes has been verified in nonpolygonal configurations as well, although we managed to find some exceptions to this rule (47). References 46 and 47 also present the equations of coupling of the system in terms of "reduced" Oseen tensors that take into account that the direction of each rower is constrained, so that a relation between the x and y motion of a particle allows reduction of the dimension of the coupling matrix from $2N \times 2N$ to $N \times N$.

The Oseen eigenmodes for a given geometrical arrangement are therefore predictive of the collective motion in the active state: This is a very powerful result, which is useful in designing optimal geometrical arrangements for sustained collective fluid transport by these active oscillators. In arrangements of many oscillators, the coupling is strongest the closer they are geometrically. Therefore in a disordered and large system it should be possible to identify clusters, i.e., groups of 2, 3, 4 or 5 spatially close oscillators that are more tightly coupled together, as in **Figure 3***b*, *inset*. These will synchronize into "dynamical motifs" similar to the ones we have observed in Reference 10, perhaps enabling the larger-scale (tissue-level) metachronal wave to be understood as resulting from local units. It will be fascinating in the future to discover if biology makes use of this hierarchical structure and to what level of disorder can be tolerated in a ciliated tissue. Long-range metachronal waves have also been reported numerically and analytically in chains of rowers (12, 61). It was shown in Reference 12 that metachronal waves emerged when the range of the hydrodynamic interaction was short, for example, considering only the interaction between nearest neighbors, as happens in the limit of being close to a no-slip boundary.

4.3. Oscillators Moving Along Orbits: The "Rotor" Model

Another very useful model system is to consider stress-controlled oscillators. Here, the key control parameter is force rather than position. This type of model is one step closer to the situation in biological cilia, where the motors inside cilia also play the role of sensors for the state of stress on the filament, although perhaps the "geometric switch" discontinuity is a good representation of a collective stress-driven detachment of motors from filaments, switching from power to recovery stroke. **4.3.1. The model.** When a cilium beats, its center of drag moves along a given orbit that can be a 2D or 3D path. A way to model a cilium is hence to prescribe an orbit for the particle (42, 52, 62). With ϕ the phase of the oscillator, the orbit is described by its shape $\mathbf{r}(\phi)$ and the driving force acting on the particle $F(\phi)$, which represents the force provided by the cilium, in the direction tangent to the path at ϕ , as shown on **Figure 4***c* for circular orbits. Additionally, the orbit can be made flexible (42), which helps to break the time-reversal symmetry required for synchronization. In order to generate a net flow, the trajectory can be tilted and positioned close to a surface, so that the drag coefficient changes during the cycle because of the variable height from the wall. This idea of the possible importance of wall effects in the flows generated by cilia and flagella was already emphasized by Blake (93).

4.3.2. Understanding synchronization in rotors: the role of force profile. In Reference 62, Vilfan & Jülicher showed with numerical simulations that two beads driven on elliptical and tilted trajectories near a wall can synchronize, with their state controlled by the relative position of the orbits. In this case, synchronization comes from the hydrodynamic coupling between the two oscillators that allows the particle to move faster or slower on its trajectory than if it was just pulled by its driving force. This way of synchronization was later addressed by Uchida & Golestanian, who derived generic conditions for synchronization (49, 52). Here, a trajectory is simply defined by $\mathbf{r}(\phi)$ and $F(\phi)$, which are both functions of a phase ϕ , and no flexibility of the orbit is assumed. The hydrodynamic coupling is described by a "geometric factor" $H_{12}(\phi_1, \phi_2)$, which is the hydrodynamic tensor projected along the tangents to the two trajectories at ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , where 1, 2 designate the two oscillators. By linear stability analysis, they obtained that the condition for synchronization of the two oscillators is that the growth rate

$$\Gamma \approx -\frac{2}{T_0} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi \, \left[\ln F(\phi) \right]' H_{12}(\phi, \phi) \qquad \qquad 9.$$

is negative (52), where T_0 is the intrinsic period of the oscillators. This formula highlights that both the driving force and $H_{12}(\phi, \phi)$ must depend on ϕ to obtain synchronization. Because for most trajectories the second condition is satisfied (52), the condition usually reduces to having a nonconstant $F(\phi)$. The formula also implies that near-field effects can be used to synchronize the system by having strong variations of the geometric factor. By pushing the calculation further, they also defined an effective potential of synchronization $V(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2)$ that allows quick identification of the synchronized states (minima of this potential) and the likelihood of phase slips in the presence of noise (they depend on the amplitude of the potential and its possible tilt). Here Φ_i is a gauge linked to ϕ_i , such that Φ_i increases linearly if the oscillator is uncoupled.

In the case of two circular trajectories (as in **Figure 4**c but without flexibility) Uchida & Golestanian showed that in the Fourier decomposition of the force profile, the mode that leads to strongest synchronization is (52)

$$F(\phi) = F_0 \left[1 - A_2 \sin(2\phi) \right],$$
 10.

with $0 < A_2 < 1$. This is valid in the far-field limit, when the size of the orbits is much smaller than the distance *d* between the oscillators and when the oscillators are either very close to the surface ($b \ll d$, with *b* the height from the surface) or in the bulk ($b \gg d$). For these circular paths, if $A_2 = 0$, the amplitude of the driving force is constant, and the system does not synchronize.

More recently, this model was used by Golestanian & Bennett to study the synchronization of *Chlamydomonas* in simulations: Two colloidal rotors are maintained close to a third sphere that is modeling the cell body. In the presence of noise, this leads to a run-and-tumble behavior (94),

similar to the actual alga. The synchronized states and their stability have also been studied without noise, depending on the choice of the driving force profile (95).

4.3.3. Understanding synchronization in rotors: the role of trajectory compliance. As explained above, synchronization can arise from particular choices of the force profiles driving rotors. However, synchronization in rotors can also emerge from another mechanism: the flexibility (compliance) of the orbits. Flexibility leads to loss of time-reversal symmetry and is a common way to force hydrodynamic synchronization in various systems such as rotating helices and paddles (96–98). Niedermayer et al. have proposed it for colloidal rotors (42).

This model is shown in **Figure 4***c*, where the orbits are circular and $F(\phi_i)$ is now set to a constant. Flexibility is allowed in the direction orthogonal to the orbit, meaning that instead of following exactly the circular trajectory, the particles can deviate from their orbits, for example, because of the coupling with other particles or thermal fluctuations. To stay close to the predefined path, a restoring force is added that tends to pull the particle back to the track. The restoring force can, to the lowest order, be described by a spring constant as shown in **Figure 4***c*. For two rotors driven by constant forces and without thermal fluctuations, Niedermayer and colleagues calculated the state of synchronization and its strength. They found that the decay rate of the phase, as it converges to the synchronized state (in phase), is proportional to the inverse of the spring constant k_r that constrains the beads to the circles. In long chains of oscillators, their simulations also led to metachronal waves in both cases of periodic and free boundary conditions at the ends of the chain.

4.3.4. Toward more elaborate rotor models. The early rotor models represented above were highly simplified as they either assumed stiff orbits (the Golestanian model) or constant driving forces (the Lenz model). These idealized views have been very useful in determining the origin of synchronization. However, a more realistic representation of a cilium should include both nonconstant driving forces to represent well the power and recovery strokes of a cilium as well as flexibility. This has been done experimentally with optical tweezers in Reference 53. In Figure 4c, for the oscillator i, the center of a trap is maintained ahead of the particle at a distance $\epsilon(\phi_i)$. The trap is controlled by a feedback loop that ensures that it is positioned, for every feedback cycle, at $\epsilon(\phi_i)$ along the tangent to the preprogrammed orbit, at the point for ϕ_i at which the bead is. Controlling the trap-to-bead distance sets the driving force $F(\phi_i) = k\epsilon(\phi_i)$, where k is the trapping constant of the optical tweezers. Some degree of flexibility in the trajectory is always present when using optical tweezers. If the optical traps have the same stiffness in all directions of the focal plane, the flexibility would be simply k. Thus, Golestanian and Lenz synchronization can be tuned independently by changing k and $\epsilon(\phi_i)$. In practice, we have implemented complex trapping landscapes by time-sharing a laser beam with acousto-optical deflectors (see Reference 50 for details on this method). This allowed us to study oscillators with much higher flexibility than what we would have obtained with simple harmonic traps. With this setup, we have been able to observe both Lenz and Golestanian in-phase synchronization in the configuration shown in Figure 4c with the force profile in Equation 10. In an intuitive analysis, we have successfully recovered the synchronization strength Γ (i.e., the cycle-averaged decay rate of the relative phase difference at the synchronized state) as a simple sum of the Golestanian and Lenz strengths of synchronization Γ_G and Γ_L of the two models described above:

$$\Gamma \approx \Gamma_G + \Gamma_L = 2\pi \frac{3a}{4d} \left(A_2 + \frac{3F_0}{kr} \sqrt{1 - A_2^2} \right), \qquad 11.$$

where *a* and *r* are the particle and orbit radii, respectively, and *d* is the distance between the oscillators. **Figure 5***b* shows a comparison between the measured inverse relaxation time $1/\tau$ of the phase difference in simulations (*circles*) and the expected rate $1/\tau \approx -\ln[1 - (\Gamma_L + \Gamma_G)]$.

4.4. Alignment of the Beating Planes: The "Rower" with a Free Direction

Synchronization of the beads is not the only cooperative behavior that coupled oscillators can display. For instance, in a carpet of cilia showing a metachronal wave, all the cilia roughly beat in the same direction. The direction of cilia beats in a fully grown epithelial tissue is well defined relative to the organ. This is essential for the generation of fluid flow, for example, for mucus clearance away from the lungs, which relies on coordinated beating of cilia to produce transport-efficient metachronal waves (99). However, the origin of this orientation that develops during the tissue growth (after planar cell polarity is established) is still an open question in developmental biology. For example, the network of microtubules connecting the basal bodies could couple to the cell shape (100), and the hydrodynamic interaction could also play a role in setting the orientation (67, 101).

In the rower view of a cilium each oscillator has a direction of oscillation. Although this direction was constrained in the work described in Section 4.2, we have also modified the model to allow the direction to deviate slowly because of hydrodynamic forces (details of this extended model can be found in Reference 48).

The rower model with free orientation produces rich results. We saw that two oscillators synchronize in phase and align in the direction defined by the line joining the centers of the oscillators if they are driven by power-law potentials with an exponent $\alpha < 1$ (c < 0) (see Figure 5c, subpanel i). The figure shows the tracks of the two oscillators starting from an initial condition (dark blue) with a random phase and a random orientation. After a few tens of oscillations, the system converged to parallel oscillators (orange). The in-phase synchronization (not clearly visible in the figure) is consistent with results on rowers with fixed orientation, where in-phase locked states were obtained for negative curvatures c (Figure 5a, subpanel i). For $\alpha > 1$ (c > 0), the system does not converge to a fixed orientation: It rather chooses orientations for which the hydrodynamic coupling vanishes, and as a consequence synchronization becomes weak. The convergence of the orientations is summarized in Figure 5c, subpanel *ii*, where the arrows show the map of the time evolution of the orientations θ_1 and θ_2 of the two oscillators. We also simulated configurations of up to 64 oscillators with a free orientation; systems with $\alpha < 1$ always aligned strongly, even with noise, in the direction of the elongation of the array of oscillators. This could be relevant in explaining the alignment of cilia in elongated cells such as Paramecium and, perhaps, in planar cell polarized tissues (see Section 6).

4.5. Limitations of the Rower and Rotor Models

An important limitation of the colloidal models is that it is hard to implement experimentally colloidal oscillators in such a way that they generate a net fluid flow, because typically a spherical bead is undergoing a back-and-forth motion. Swimmers or micropumps in which the traps are switched with a known frequency (hence, no free-phase oscillators) were, however, realized experimentally by Cicuta et al. (40, 102) with two or three beads, based on model swimmers proposed in References 103–106. In an extensive study, Pande & Smith showed that synchronization between the arms of a three-bead swimmer can maximize its net velocity (107). A model oscillator that generates a net flow should have many degrees of freedom, and good candidates for a model may be self-assembled magnetic colloids (13, 70).

The current challenge is to develop experimental colloid models that account for more realistic biological conditions. Some steps toward that have been made with the rower with a free direction of oscillation or with the circular rotor model that includes both a nonconstant driving force and flexibility. An extension of the rotor model could investigate the case of noncircular trajectories experimentally. The motion of the center of mass of a Chlamydomonas flagellum is indeed far from circular (see the supplementary material of Reference 50). More importantly, the effect of the surface on which the cilia are anchored is often neglected. The main effect of the surface is to shorten the hydrodynamic coupling range from a 1/d to a $1/d^3$ decay between a bulk fluid and close to the surface. Although this is not very relevant when studying only two oscillators (if the typical size of the oscillations is small compared with the distance between oscillators, as it only changes the amplitude of the coupling), it can have an effect on the collective dynamics of more than two oscillators (12). Finally, many biological fluids that surround the cilia, like mucus, are highly non-Newtonian and, in some cases, not even homogeneous. This can affect both the motion of a single cilium and the collective properties of an assembly of oscillators. Although experiments similar to those described above could be simply reproduced in a viscoelastic fluid, simulating the model and obtaining analytical determinations of the synchronization properties pose entirely new challenges.

5. BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS AND LINKS WITH MODELS

Particular strategies have been developed by microorganisms to enable motility in liquids. The best-studied cases are bacteria flagella (108) and the eukaryotic cell cilia, reviewed in Reference 2. Few experiments exist on waves involving large numbers of real cilia with resolution at or close to individual units: Okamoto & Nakaoka reconstituted cortical sheets of *Paramecium* ciliated cells and observed the emergence of collective cilia beating (109), and Berg and coworkers studied "bacteria carpets" (108). The airway tissue is an "intrinsic" and bio/medically relevant system and is experimentally accessible. This section overviews the experimental observations that have been made on increasingly complex (larger cilia number) living systems and the models that have been proposed to explain synchronized collective motions.

5.1. Single-Cell Organisms with Few Cilia

With only two flagella, Chlamydomonas has been a successful system to investigate synchronization. This organism can be easily handled experimentally, and many mutants are available to tune several parameters, like making it uniflagellar (21). Relevant information can be obtained both to gain access to the swimming cycle of the alga and to characterize the synchronization of the flagella. For example, we have used data on the cycle of beat of a flagellum (18) to determine the shape of the driving potential that can be inputted in the rower model. Using this potential leads to a prediction on the synchronization state consistent with the breaststroke motion of Chlamydomonas that dominates in the wild type of the alga (50) (see Figure 1b). Goldstein et al. followed another approach-characterizing the synchronization. They observed that the swimming of the alga also includes short periods of phase drifts during which the flagella oscillate asynchronously (17, 34). This is linked to the organism's motility: *Chlamydomonas* displays periods of long, straight trajectories, alternating with abrupt changes of direction, which is similar to the "run-and-tumble" motility well known in prokaryotes (34). A recent study found consistency between this behavior of the two flagella and a generic model of two coupled noisy phase oscillators with a coupling strength consistent with hydrodynamic interactions (17), and the tumble looks similar to the antiphase state seen in the ptx1 mutant (110). Hydrodynamic forces are certainly sufficient to induce synchronization of the flagella as beautifully demonstrated in Reference 45, but there is also evidence that in the swimming state the mechanical coupling through the motion of the central cell body also needs to be considered (64).

5.2. Metachronal Waves in Paramecium and Volvox

Paramecium and *Volvox carteri* are, respectively, unicellular and multicellular organisms and a few hundreds of micrometers in size, with an outer surface covered with thousands of cilia (21, 111). They are model organisms for imaging metachronal waves.

In the colonial alga *Volvox*, each of the ciliated cells composing the carpet of filaments is biflagellated. These two organisms show an interesting collective motion, in which the flagella do not all beat with the same phase. Instead, two neighboring flagella keep a constant, usually small, phase difference. When oscillating, this leads to the formation of a metachronal wave that can be seen at the surface of various cells or tissues (32, 109, 112–115). In *Volvox*, this propagating phase pattern is also perturbed by defects (local phase shifts that appear and disappear periodically), and these dynamics have been reproduced in a system of hydrodynamically coupled tilted rotors near a surface (116). In-phase synchronization and metachronal waves are believed to optimize the flow generated by an assembly of cilia (117, 118).

5.3. Mammalian Mucociliary Tissues

In mammalian cilia (in the brain ventricles, fallopian tubes, airways, etc.), the cilia belong to multiciliated cells; there are typically of order 200 cilia per cell, and each cilium is separated by approximately 200 nm. These ciliated cells, together with mucus-producing cells (goblet cells, in mammals) and ion-regulating cells, form a general tissue type known as mucociliary epithelium. Experimenters have considered developing embryos of mice (119, 120), and closely related phenomena take place on the outer surface of various "model" biological organisms, such as the *Paramecium* (121) and the algae colony *Volvox* (116) as well as *Xenopus* frogs (122).

Apart from understanding their synchronization and cooperative behavior, ciliated tissues raise other interesting questions: For example, in multiciliated cell tissues (carpets of many cilia) the cilia all beat in parallel planes, posing the question of how the direction is chosen in tissue development (100) and maintenance. Cilia grow outward from a structure called the basal body, which is anchored to a cell's cytoskeleton (123) (**Figure 6***a*). The basal body is itself generated from



Figure 6

Side views of trachea epithelial cilia. (*a*) Mature ciliated cells in rat trachea. Adapted from Reference 125 with permission. During ciliogenesis, centrioles develop into basal bodies (*arrows*). These have extended cilia. (*b*) Periciliary layer (PCL). Adapted from Reference 99 with permission. The gel-like mucus phase "sits" on the cilia brush without extending into the cilia layer. Instead of mucus, the cilia are surrounded by a liquid phase, the PCL.

centrioles, which are subcellular structures responsible for microtubular organization. Once fully grown, a cilium transverses the cell's plasma membrane, which extends typically several microns out of the cell body. In fully developed epithelial tissue, the direction of beating is well defined relative to the organ; for example, it is parallel to the trachea in mammalian airways. This is essential for the generation of fluid flow (mucus clearance away from the lungs in this case), which relies on coordinated beating of cilia to produce transport-efficient metachronal waves (99), similar to *Paramecium* and *Volvox*.

5.4. Respiratory Tract

Particularly important in terms of human health, and impact of related disease, is the respiratory tract. The mucociliary clearance process is the first line of defence against a wide range of potentially harmful agents including bacteria, viruses, and particulate matter. The layer of mucus (ions, water, mucin proteins), kept in motion by beating cilia and occasionally by cough, acts as a physical barrier. Flow of fluid is maintained over all the airway surfaces, toward the larynx. As reviewed in Reference 124, dysfunction in this clearing system, which can arise from conditions such as human cystic fibrosis (CF) and primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), has severe consequences such as chronic lung infections and respiratory insufficiency. Altered mucus clearance is also linked to other chronic airway diseases such as asthma that afflict millions worldwide.

5.4.1. Mucus clearance by cilia. The human bronchial system is complex: Epithelium is mucociliated and pseudo-stratified in vivo, and the mechanisms that regulate its functions are not fully understood. Among the epithelial cells, goblet cells secrete mucus, which protects the bronchial epithelium and is transported by the motile cilia protruding from the apical poles of specialized epithelial cells (Figure 6a). The coordinated system of epithelial cells—including functions such as ion transport through their membranes, mucus secretions, and cilia action-and cough is collectively termed mucus clearance and results in a continuous flow. The respiratory tract is lined by a thin layer of airway surface liquid (ASL), which is approximately 7–70 μ m in height (99) (Figure 6b). This layer is itself defined as consisting of two phases: an outer mucus-rich layer, and an inner fluid close to the cilia known as the periciliary layer (PCL). The PCL height is in practice defined as the height of the extended cilium, and recent studies have demonstrated complex interactions among cilia, the mobile mucins in the mucus layer, and the underlying PCL (126). It appears that both the mucus layer and the PCL are moved unidirectionally, and it is suggested that the movement of the ASL involves two steps (99): First, the ciliary power stroke acts on the under-surface of the mucus to move the mucus layer unidirectionally on the airway surface; second, the frictional interaction of the mucus layer with the PCL allows this underlying layer to travel at velocities similar to those of the overlying mucus layer (a form of "secondary" transport). These data are consistent with the fact that mammalian airway cilia are too short, and their recovery stroke not sufficiently close to the cell surface, to move the PCL directly. The flow within the boundary PCL layer itself is very complex and has been addressed from a colloidal model perspective by Vilfan et al. (13).

5.4.2. Analysis of collective motion on airway cells. Various types of experiments are possible relating to airway cells: (*a*) Sections of the trachea can be taken from animals and maintained in a living functioning state for over a day; (*b*) human cells can be obtained by noninvasive procedures (nose brush or scrape) and cultured to recreate an in vitro tissue; (*c*) ciliated cell lines, and fully confluent tissues, are available commercially. Cells in all these in vitro systems express their normal mucus layer (which can be temporarily washed-off by buffer). The choice of experimental approach depends on the question of interest.

The physical properties of mucus, including rheological parameters, are important in setting the frequency of cilia beating, and they have been investigated extensively in the context of various pathologies (127). For example, in cystic fibrosis there is clear evidence that the properties of the PCL are abnormal. Existing observations in the literature of ciliated tissues have focused mainly on measuring cilia beat frequency and mean flow velocity.

6. OUTLOOK

A key objective is to link quantitatively the emergent collective dynamics to the behavior of the individual oscillators and to the physical properties of the materials surrounding the cilia. Current models are minimal, and realistic aspects of the real systems, such as viscoelasticity of the liquid, the presence of nearby surfaces (13), heterogeneity of cilia distribution (128), and plasticity of beat orientation, need to be examined and incorporated into the models. Linking all the scales of this problem—i.e., how collective dynamical phenotypes emerge from the molecular functioning of the cilium—remains a formidable long-term challenge, requiring combined experiments on colloidal models, simple biological organisms, and complex biological tissues. Understanding this link will be a breakthrough in the physics of synchronization and will open up diagnostic potential, for example, in the context of pathologies of the airway tract.

6.1. Clinical Diagnosis of Diseases

Clinical diagnosis most commonly consists of inspection of cilia beat frequency, and cilia waveform, from small biopsies. In cases of suspected genetic disease (PCD), the ciliar molecular structure is assessed by electron microscopy. Key aspects of cilia dynamics (such as space and time coherence) are currently not being addressed in the clinical setting, but they are evidently important in conditions leading to "patchy loss" of cilia, which can be a consequence of explosion trauma or infections. They will also be key in understanding the efficacy of drugs used to treat symptoms of CF or asthma and in future regenerative medicine to aid development of functional tissues and define standards. The role of fundamental physics in this context is to highlight which dynamical patterns and which parameters of the driving force are important, so that these significant parameters can be investigated and measured in the biological system.

One challenge is to go beyond this analysis and to extract more information, which would feed into a two-way link between the collective steady state and the function at the single cilium level. In this way, from easily measurable macroscopic behaviors, one would be able to infer microscopic properties. For example, recent developments push toward resolving the shape of a single cilium during the power and recovery strokes, which is now possible in simple organisms (18). These experiments are important in relating to the microscopic molecular function within a cilium. If one had high-resolution observations of the shape of a beating cilium during each stroke and knowledge of the mechanical properties of the filament bundle, this could be linked quantitatively with models that account for cilia structure and molecular motor activity such as that discussed in Reference 27. However, another question remains, which is at least as important because it too offers a (possibly experimentally easier) way to link the macroscopic to the molecular scale: Given a force versus time profile throughout the stroke (which can be thought of as an intrinsic property of a certain cilium in given external physical conditions), what is the resulting collective property of the tissue surface in which many such cilia are spatially arranged?

Answering this question is a challenge that requires bringing together fundamental ideas and carrying out clear experiments on the biological systems to prove, control, and demonstrate the relevance of what is identified from the colloid model experiments. A clear understanding of how the bottom-up emergence of certain dynamical motifs (from given force profiles, geometrical arrangements, and coupling forces) is achieved will provide a powerful tool: a map that makes it possible to relate these motifs back to those microscopic properties, which could then feed into a video analysis package that could be deployed in the clinical setting for the study of pathologies linked to ciliary dysfunction.

6.2. Development of Ciliated Tissues

An open question in developmental biology is the need to find the rules and the cues that enable cilia tissues, a fairly complex and well organized carpet, to be made, and in particular, how the direction of the cilia is chosen. The first symmetry to be broken in the development of vertebrates is the anterior/posterior. For example, the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway sets the initial direction in a developmental stage of the epithelium in *Xenopus* embryos, a tissue that includes multiciliated cells (129). From this point on, there are gradients of a variety of biochemical elements along this axis. Cells can be polarized, both in the intracellular protein localization and in their shape. This process happens before cilia-genesis, and the standard view in biology is that the gradients in biochemical markers control most, if not all, of the subsequent organ development. However, once cilia are generated, they contribute to long-range flows, which can transport chemical factors directionally or act as a mechanical cue for organization (130–133).

In the specific case of developing orientational cilia order in the airway tissue, there is a hypothesis that flow-induced self organization might be important. A fraction of the cells in the tissue that will develop into the airway epithelium express a few hundred centrioles, which become basal bodies and grow cilia. At this stage, the cells themselves are already polarized (biochemically and in shape), but the basal bodies when they first appear are not oriented. There have been very recent studies suggesting that the network of microtubules connecting the basal bodies could couple to the cell shape or to the emerging tissue architecture and possibly orient the cilia (100). By contrast, the newly made cilia are exposed to a directional flow from the mucus being produced by other cells. These cilia will also be exposed to the flow that they themselves generate; i.e., they interact with each other through hydrodynamic interaction forces (32). The question of how cilia are aligned has also been addressed by looking at mouse brain ventricles (101), where it was shown that cilia first dock apically with random orientations and then reorient in a common direction through a coupling between hydrodynamic forces and the PCP protein Vangl2.

The evidence of the role of flows in determining the orientation of cilia is therefore present in the experiments in the *Xenopus* larval skin (130, 134) and in mouse brain ventricles (101). However, there are only very few physical models to explain this behavior (67). Experiments where air or liquids are driven across the cell laden surfaces will provide insight as to whether and at what development stages these stresses can reorient cilia attachment and the resulting active transport.

6.3. Basic Science and Microfluidic Technology

The models discussed here, showing synchronization regimes that are tunable and competing with Brownian noise, are also very accessible platforms for developing the science of nonlinear systems (43). They have the "usual" great beauty in common with many colloidal particle model systems: easily accessible timescales, direct imaging of configurations, and intrinsic presence of stochastic elements (through thermal noise). Our understanding of which configurations, geometries, and coupling forms might be ideal to maximize susceptibility, or create active media that support particular signal propagations, is still very crude.

In the technological arena, taming the mechanisms of hydrodynamic synchronization appropriately could make it possible to optimize devices such as micropumps (135), molecular sorters and low Re mixers, and control behavior of swarms of swimmers. Various groups have succeeded in generating artificial carpets of beating filaments (136) but not yet with free phase. If such systems had spontaneous synchronization, they might be designed in such a way that they could sustain fluid drive over a variety of conditions (as do the biological mucociliary tissues), whereas a deterministically driven carpet is necessarily tuned for just one condition.

SUMMARY POINTS

- 1. Model systems show that hydrodynamic forces, exerted by one cilium on another, can be sufficient to cause synchronization and emergence of collective wave states.
- 2. The properties of the emergent collective behavior depend on a number of factors, which can be individually addressed (experimentally, numerically, and analytically) working with simple models, in which each motile cilium is coarse-grained into the movement of a single colloidal sphere, retaining elements of the original system in the details of the drive and geometric disposition.
- 3. Two main classes of models (rowers and rotors) have been studied so far; both can be mapped onto biological data and have rich phenomenology, and each has particular aspects that make the model attractive.
- 4. Model systems have been used to highlight the regime of synchronization, which is limited by thermal noise and detuning of the natural frequencies of the driven oscillators.
- 5. Model systems are also able to explain the type of synchronization; the main thrust of work so far has revolved around mapping the system of the biflagellated algae *Chlamydomonas* and addressing how it manages to exhibit both in-phase and antiphase beating patterns.

FUTURE ISSUES

- 1. Our fundamental understanding of this class of nonlinear systems is still incomplete, and the aim of linking local rules to the macroscopic properties of emergent dynamics is in general still an open challenge.
- 2. The class of nonlinear systems described here has so far been explored as models of biological motile cilia, but there are many other future areas of development in technology (artificial surfaces that drive fluid flow) and microscale sensing (optimized arrays for picking up faint signals).
- 3. Regarding understanding robustness against geometric heterogeneity, in ciliated tissues, there are clusters of nearby cilia (e.g., from the same cell) and longer distances in between ciliated cells; in some diseases, patchy loss of cilia leads to regions devoid of beating cilia.
- 4. In the hopes of describing ciliated tissues such as the airways, it will be necessary to understand the role not just of mucus viscoelasticity, but also of its complex stratified nature.
- 5. This area of work is partially justified by clinical challenges and aims to improve diagnostics and perhaps even therapeutic practice relating to conditions where mucociliary clearance is compromised.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all of our collaborators working in this area, particularly M. Cosentino Lagomarsino for introducing us to this question many years ago, and many discussions since then, and L. Feriani and M. Chioccioli for providing the images in **Figures 1***c* and **3***b*. This work is funded by the ERC Consolidator Grant HydroSync.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Taylor GI. 1951. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. 209:447-61
- 2. Lauga E, Powers TR. 2009. Rep. Prog. Phys. 72:096601
- 3. Lauga E. 2011. Soft Matter 7:3060-65
- 4. Golestanian R, Yeomans J, Uchida N. 2011. Soft Matter 7:3074
- Gaffney EA, Gadelha H, Smith DJ, Blake JR, Kirkman-Brown JC. 2011. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 43:501– 28
- 6. Goldstein RE. 2015. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 47:343-75
- 7. Elgeti J, Winkler RG, Gompper G. 2015. Rep. Prog. Phys. 78:056601
- 8. Breunig JJ, Arellano JI, Rakic P. 2010. Nat. Neurosci. 13:654-55
- 9. Kozlov AS, Baumgart J, Risler T, Versteegh CPC, Hudspeth AJ. 2011. Nature 474:376-79
- 10. Damet L, Cicuta GM, Kotar J, Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Cicuta P. 2012. Soft Matter 8:8672
- 11. Gheber L, Priel Z. 1994. Cell Motil. Cytoskelet. 28:333-45
- 12. Wollin C, Stark H. 2011. Eur. Phys. 7. E 34:42
- 13. Vilfan M, Potocnik A, Kavcic B, Osterman N, Poberaj I, et al. 2010. PNAS 107:1844-47
- 14. Kotar J, Leoni M, Bassetti B, Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Cicuta P. 2010. PNAS 107:7669-73
- 15. Bruot N, Damet L, Kotar J, Cicuta P, Cosentino Lagomarsino M. 2011. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107:094101
- 16. Rikmenspoel R, Isles CA. 1985. Biophys. J. 47:395-410
- 17. Goldstein R, Polin M, Tuval I. 2009. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103:168103
- 18. Bayly PV, Lewis BL, Kemp PS, Pless RB, Dutcher SK. 2010. Cytoskeleton 67:56-69
- 19. Mercer RR, Russell ML, Roggli VL, Crapo JD. 1994. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 10:613-24
- 20. Leopold PL, O'Mahony MJ, Lian XJ, Tilley AE, Harvey BG, Crystal RG. 2009. PLOS ONE 4:e8157
- 21. Vincensini L, Blisnick T, Bastin P. 2011. Biol. Cell 103:109-30
- 22. Bray D. 2000. Cell Movements: From Molecules to Motility. New York: Garland Sci.
- 23. Lindemann C, Lesich K. 2010. J. Cell Sci. 123:519-28
- 24. Riedel-Kruse IH, Hilfinger A, Howard J, Jülicher F. 2007. HFSP J. 1:192-208
- 25. Satir P, Christensen ST. 2007. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 69:377-400
- 26. Woolley D, Vernon G. 2001. J. Exp. Biol. 204:1333-45
- 27. Hilfinger A, Jülicher F. 2008. Phys. Biol. 5:016003
- 28. Hilfinger A, Chattopadhyay A, Jülicher F. 2009. Phys. Rev. E 79:051918
- 29. Brokaw CJ. 2009. Cell Motil. Cytoskelet. 66:425-36
- 30. Brokaw CJ. 2009. Biophys. J. 97:2939-47
- 31. Gadêlha H, Gaffney EA, Goriely A. 2013. PNAS 110:12180-85
- 32. Gueron S, Levit-Gurevich K, Liron N, Blum J. 1997. PNAS 94:6001-6
- 33. Elgeti J, Gompper G. 2013. PNAS 110:4470-75
- 34. Polin M, Tuval I, Drescher K, Gollub J, Goldstein R. 2009. Science 325:487-90
- 35. Brooks E, Wallingford J. 2014. Curr. Biol. 24:R973-82
- 36. Beatus T, Tlusty T, Bar-Ziv R. 2006. Nat. Phys. 2:743-48
- 37. Hänggi P, Marchesoni F. 2009. Rev. Mod. Phys. 81:387-441

- 38. Sanchez T, Welch D, Nicastro D, Dogic Z. 2011. Science 333:456-59
- 39. Putz VB, Yeomans JM. 2009. J. Stat. Phys. 137:1001-13
- 40. Leoni M, Kotar J, Bassetti B, Cicuta P, Cosentino Lagomarsino M. 2009. Soft Matter 5:472-76
- 41. Palagi S, Jager EW, Mazzolai B, Beccai L. 2013. Bioinspir. Biomim. 8:046004
- 42. Niedermayer T, Eckhardt B, Lenz P. 2008. Chaos 18:037128
- 43. Pikovsky A, Rosenblum M, Kurths J. 2001. Synchronization. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- 44. Ma R, Klindt GS, Riedel-Kruse IH, Jülicher F, Friedrich BM. 2014. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113:048101
- 45. Brumley DR, Wan KY, Polin M, Goldstein RE. 2014. eLife 3:e02750
- 46. Cicuta GM, Onofri E, Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Cicuta P. 2012. Phys. Rev. E 85:016203
- 47. Lhermerout R, Bruot N, Cicuta GM, Kotar J, Cicuta P. 2012. New J. Phys. 14:105023
- 48. Bruot N, Cicuta P. 2013. J. R. Soc. Interface 10:20130571
- 49. Uchida N, Golestanian R. 2011. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106:058104
- 50. Bruot N, Kotar J, de Lillo F, Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Cicuta P. 2012. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109:164103
- 51. Di Leonardo R, Buzas A, Kelemen L, Vizsnyiczai G, Oroszi L, Ormos P. 2012. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109:034104
- 52. Uchida N, Golestanian R. 2012. Eur. Phys. J. E 35:135
- 53. Kotar J, Debono L, Bruot N, Box S, Phillips D, et al. 2013. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111:228103
- 54. Koumakis N, Di Leonardo R. 2013. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110:174103
- 55. Fliegauf M, Benzing T, Omran H. 2007. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8:880-93
- 56. Cartwright JHE, Piro N, Piro O, Tuval I. 2008. Dev. Dyn. 237:3477-90
- 57. Marchetti MC, Joanny JF, Ramaswamy S, Liverpool TB, Prost J, et al. 2013. Rev. Mod. Phys. 85:1143
- 58. Fürthauer S, Ramaswamy S. 2013. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111:238102
- 59. Gueron S, Levit-Gurevich K. 1999. PNAS 96:12240-45
- 60. Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Bassetti B, Jona P. 2002. Eur. Phys. 7. B 26:81-88
- 61. Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Jona P, Bassetti B. 2003. Phys. Rev. E 68:021908
- 62. Vilfan A, Jülicher F. 2006. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96:058102
- 63. Goldstein RE, Polin M, Tuval I. 2011. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107:148103
- 64. Friedrich BM, Jülicher F. 2012. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109:138102
- 65. Wong LB, Miller IF, Yeates DB. 1993. J. Appl. Physiol. 75:458-67
- 66. Chilvers MA, O'Callaghan C. 2000. Thorax 55:314-17
- 67. Guirao B, Joanny JF. 2007. Biophys. 7. 92:1900-17
- 68. Coq N, Bricard A, Delapierre FD, Malaquin L, du Roure O, et al. 2011. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107:014501
- 69. Khaderi S, den Toonder JMJ, Onck PR. 2012. Biomicrofluidics 6:014106
- 70. Vilfan M, Kokot G, Vilfan A, Osterman N, Kavčič B, et al. 2012. Beilstein 7. Nanotechnol. 3:163-71
- 71. Dreyfus R, Baudry J, Roper ML, Fermigier M, Stone HA, Bibette J. 2005. Nature 437:862-65
- 72. Kavre I, Vilfan A, Babič D. 2015. Phys. Rev. E 91:031002
- 73. Dhont JKG. 1996. An Introduction to Dynamics of Colloids. Amsterdam: Elsevier Sci.
- Happel J, Brenner H. 1983. Low Reynolds Number Hydrodynamics: With Special Applications to Particulate Media. New York: Kluwer
- 75. Chwang AT, Wu TYT. 1975. J. Fluid Mech. 67:787-815
- 76. Doi M, Edwards SF. 1986. The Theory of Polymer Dynamics. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- 77. Perkins G, Jones R. 1992. Phys. A 189:447-77
- 78. Blake JR. 1971. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 70:303-10
- Pozrikidis C. 1996. Introduction to Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics. New York: Oxford Univ. Press. 1st ed.
- 80. Gauger E, Downton M, Stark H. 2009. Eur. Phys. J. E 28:231-42
- 81. Meiners JC, Quake SR. 1999. Phys. Rev. Lett. 82:2211-14
- 82. Cicuta GM, Kotar J, Brown AT, Noh J, Cicuta P. 2010. Phys. Rev. E 81:051403
- 83. Reichert M, Stark H. 2004. Phys. Rev. E 69:031407
- 84. Uchida N, Golestanian R. 2010. Phys. Rev. Lett. 104:178103
- 85. Ermak DL, McCammon JA. 1978. J. Chem. Phys. 69:1352-60
- 86. Geyer VF, Jülicher F, Howard J, Friedrich BM. 2013. PNAS 110:18058-63
- 87. Elfring GJ, Lauga E. 2009. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103:088101
- 88. Gray J, Hancock GJ. 1955. J. Exp. Biol. 32:802-14

- 89. Lighthill J. 1976. SIAM Rev. 18:161-230
- 90. Gueron S, Liron N. 1992. Biophys. J. 63:1045-58
- 91. Keißner A, Brücker C. 2012. Soft Matter 8:5342-49
- 92. Sareh S, Rossiter J, Conn A, Drescher K, Goldstein RE. 2012. J. R. Soc. Interface 10:20120666
- 93. Blake JR, Liron N, Aldis GK. 1982. J. Theor. Biol. 98:127-41
- 94. Bennett RR, Golestanian R. 2013. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110:148102
- 95. Bennett RR, Golestanian R. 2013. New J. Phys. 15:075028
- 96. Reichert M, Stark H. 2005. Eur. Phys. J. E 17:493-500
- 97. Reigh SY, Winkler RG, Gompper G. 2012. Soft Matter 8:4363-72
- 98. Qian B, Jiang H, Gagnon DA, Breuer KS, Powers TR. 2009. Phys. Rev. E 80:061919
- 99. Button B, Cai LH, Ehre C, Kesimer M, Hill DB, et al. 2012. Science 337:937-41
- 100. Eszter KV, Roy DB, Ashvin MS, Matthew PS, Jeffrey DA. 2012. Curr. Biol. 22:2203-12
- 101. Guirao B, Meunier A, Mortaud S, Aguilar A, Corsi JM, et al. 2010. Nat. Cell Biol. 12:341-50
- 102. Leoni M, Bassetti B, Kotar J, Cicuta P, Cosentino Lagomarsino M. 2010. Phys. Rev. E 81:036304
- 103. Najafi A, Golestanian R. 2004. Phys. Rev. E 69:062901
- 104. Golestanian R, Ajdari A. 2008. Phys. Rev. E 77:036308
- 105. Golestanian R, Ajdari A. 2008. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100:038101
- 106. Earl DJ, Pooley CM, Ryder JF, Bredberg I, Yeomans JM. 2007. J. Chem. Phys. 126:064703
- 107. Pande J, Smith AS. 2015. Soft Matter 11:2364-71
- 108. Darnton N, Turner L, Breuer K, Berg HC. 2004. Biophys. 7. 86:1863-70
- 109. Okamoto K, Nakaoka Y. 1994. J. Exp. Biol. 192:61-72
- 110. Wan KY, Leptos KC, Goldstein RE. 2014. J. R. Soc. Interface 11:20131160
- 111. Kirk DL. 1998. Volvox: Molecular-Genetic Origins of Multicellularity and Cellular Differentiation. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press
- 112. Blake JR, Sleigh MA. 1974. Biol. Rev. 49:85-125
- 113. Tamm SL, Sonneborn TM, Dippell RV. 1975. J. Cell Biol. 64:98-112
- 114. Aiello E, Sleigh MA. 1972. 7. Cell Biol. 54:493-506
- 115. Sanderson MJ, Sleigh MA. 1981. 7. Cell Sci. 47:331-47
- 116. Brumley DR, Polin M, Pedley TJ, Goldstein RE. 2015. J. R. Soc. Interface 12:20141358
- 117. Osterman N, Vilfan A. 2011. PNAS 108:15727-32
- 118. Mettot C, Lauga E. 2011. Phys. Rev. E 84:061905
- Francis RJB, Chatterjee B, Loges NT, Zentgraf H, Omran H, Lo CW. 2009. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol. 296:L1067–75
- 120. Stubbs JL, Vladar EK, Axelrod JD, Kintner C. 2012. Nat. Cell Biol. 14:140-47
- 121. Beisson J, Jerka-Dziadosz M. 1999. Biol. Cell 91:367-78
- 122. Dubaissi E, Papalopulu N. 2011. Dis. Models Mech. 4:179-92
- 123. Mizuno N, Taschner M, Engel BD, Lorentzen E. 2012. J. Mol. Biol. 422:163-80
- 124. Livraghi A, Randell SH. 2007. Toxicol. Pathol. 35:116-29
- 125. Dawe HR, Farr H, Gull K. 2007. J. Cell Sci. 120:7-15
- 126. Thornton DJ, Rousseau K, McGuckin MA. 2008. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 70:459-86
- 127. Tilley AE, Walters MS, Shaykhiev R, Crystal RG. 2015. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 77:379-406
- 128. Uchida N, Golestanian R. 2010. Europhys. Lett. 89:50011
- 129. Mitchell B, Stubbs JL, Huisman F, Taborek P, Yu C, Kintner C. 2009. Curr. Biol. 19:924-29
- 130. Mitchell B, Jacobs R, Li J, Chien S, Kintner C. 2007. Nature 447:97-101
- 131. Marshall WF, Kintner C. 2008. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 20:48-52
- 132. Wallingford JB. 2010. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 22:597-604
- 133. Freund JB, Goetz JG, Hill KL, Vermot J. 2012. Development 139:1229-45
- 134. Werner M, Mitchell B. 2012. Genesis 50:176-85
- 135. Khaderi SN, den Toonder JMJ, Onck PR. 2011. J. Fluid Mech. 688:44-65
- 136. Georgilas I, Adamatzky A, Barr D, Dudek P, Melhuish C. 2014. Stud. Comput. Intell. 512:261-71

$\mathbf{\hat{R}}$

υ

Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics

Contents

Volume	7,	2016
v orunne	٠,	2010

One Subject, Two Lands: My Journey in Condensed Matter Physics <i>T.V. Ramakrishnan</i>
Spectroscopic Imaging of Strongly Correlated Electronic States Ali Yazdani, Eduardo H. da Silva Neto, and Pegor Aynajian
Units Based on Constants: The Redefinition of the International System of Units J. Stenger and J.H. Ullrich
Wave Turbulence on Water Surface Sergey Nazarenko and Sergei Lukaschuk
Information Processing in Living Systems Gašper Tkačik and William Bialek 89
Topological Phases with Parafermions: Theory and Blueprints Jason Alicea and Paul Fendley 119
Collisional Aggregation Due to Turbulence Alain Pumir and Michael Wilkinson
Swimming Droplets Corinna C. Maass, Carsten Krüger, Stephan Herminghaus, and Christian Bahr 171
Spin-Orbit Physics Giving Rise to Novel Phases in Correlated Systems: Iridates and Related Materials Jeffrey G. Rau, Eric Kin-Ho Lee, and Hae-Young Kee
Quantum Transport on Disordered and Noisy Networks: An Interplay of Structural Complexity and Uncertainty <i>Mattia Walschaers, Frank Schlawin, Thomas Wellens, and Andreas Buchleitner</i> 223
Topological Kondo Insulators Maxim Dzero, Jing Xia, Victor Galitski, and Piers Coleman
Insect Flight: From Newton's Law to Neurons Z. Jane Wang 281

The Quantum Anomalous Hall Effect: Theory and Experiment Chao-Xing Liu, Shou-Cheng Zhang, and Xiao-Liang Qi	. 301
Realizing the Physics of Motile Cilia Synchronization with Driven Colloids	
Nicolas Bruot and Pietro Cicuta	. 323
Fractional Topological Insulators: A Pedagogical Review Ady Stern	. 349
Resonant X-Ray Scattering Studies of Charge Order in Cuprates Riccardo Comin and Andrea Damascelli	. 369

Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* articles may be found at http://www.annualreviews.org/errata/conmatphys